

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Wolyniec
<b>Given Name</b>	Sylwia
<b>Person ID</b>	1285985
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Wolyniec
<b>Given Name</b>	Sylwia
<b>Person ID</b>	1285985
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>In 2021 we talk so much about save the Planet, we are on the begging of the climate disaster and now we don't need 1100 new houses with aprox 1400 cars around. We need more green areas! Already Mosley Common has started to look like paved desert, we almost don't have trees and green areas. Do we really want be chocked by pollution like Saford?</p> <p>Already main roads: Mosley Common, Sale Lane, Mort Lane are full filled with cars. At the morning and after 5pm traffic is horrible and I can't even imagine that it would be worst.</p> <p>For myself it will be personal tragedy as I love Tyldesley in this shape which we have now. I cannot stop crying since I saw that proposal as I'll have to move out from here.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change plan from building so huge amount of houses to creat green area. Or leave it ad it is now.